Evidence of jet-induced medium excitation in γ-hadron correlation in A+A collisions

Wei Chen,[1] Shanshan Cao,[2,3] Tan Luo,[1] Long-Gang Pang,[4,2] and Xin-Nian Wang[1,2,4]

[1]*Key Laboratory of Quark and Lepton Physics (MOE) and Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China*
[2]*Nuclear Science Division MS 70R0319, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720*
[3]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201*
[4]*Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720*

(Dated: April 17, 2017)

Coupled Linear Boltzmann Transport and hydrodynamics (CoLBT-hydro) is developed for simultaneous and event-by-event simulations of jet transport and jet-induced medium excitation in high-energy heavy-ion collisions. This is made possible by a GPU parallelized (3+1)D hydrodynamics that has a source term from the energy-momentum deposition by propagating jet shower partons and provides real time update of the bulk medium evolution for subsequent jet transport. Hadron spectra in γ-jet events of Au+Au collisions at RHIC are calculated for the first time that include hadrons from both the modified jet and jet-induced medium excitation. CoLBT-hydro describes well experimental data on the suppression of leading hadrons due to parton energy loss as well as the enhancement of soft hadrons from jet-induced medium excitation. The enhancement increases with jet energy while its onset occurs, however, at a constant transverse momentum. This reflects the thermal nature of soft hadrons from jet-induced medium excitation which also have a significantly broadened azimuthal distribution relative to the jet direction. Soft hadrons in the γ direction are, on the other hand, depleted due to a diffusion wake behind the jet.

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 25.75.Bh,25.75.Cj,25.75.Ld

arXiv:1704.03648v3 [nucl-th] 14 Apr 2017

Introduction. Parton energy loss in dense medium was predicted to lead to jet quenching in heavy-ion collisions [1]. Experimental discovery of jet quenching at Relativistic Heavy-ion Collider (RHIC) provides important evidence for the formation of strongly coupled quark-gluon plasma (QGP) [2, 3]. Recent theoretical and experimental progress at both RHIC and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have advanced the jet tomography as a powerful tool for the study of QGP properties [4, 5].

Early jet tomography focused on suppression of leading hadrons, dihadron and γ-hadron correlations, due to parton energy loss [6–9]. Recent studies have expanded it to include modification of jet spectra, dijet and γ-jet correlations, jet profiles and jet fragmentation functions [10–19]. Since jets are reconstructed from collimated cluster of hadrons within a jet cone, the final jet modification will be determined not only by energy loss of the leading jet shower partons but also how the lost energy is redistributed in the medium through induced radiation, rescattering and jet-induced medium excitation [20–23]. Tomography of QGP with full jets therefore requires a complete understanding of both jet transport in a fluctuating and dynamically evolving QGP medium and jet-induced medium excitation.

Jet-induced medium excitation in heavy-ion collisions has been the subject of many recent studies [24–26]. Theoretical tools used include parton transport [27–33], hydrodynamics [34–37] and AdS/CFT [38, 39]. The Linear Boltzmann Transport (LBT) model has been developed for the study of both jet transport and jet-induced medium excitation in QGP [20, 26, 31–33]. It simulates the propagation of not only jet shower partons and radiated gluons but also recoil and back reaction partons from jet-medium interaction within pQCD.

We have recently developed the first Coupled Linear Boltzmann Transport and hydrodynamics (CoLBT-hydro) in which LBT for jet propagation is coupled to (3+1)D relativistic hydrodynamics in real time. In this coupled approach, LBT provides a source term for energy-momentum deposition by propagating partons in the hydrodynamics which in turn updates the bulk medium profile for LBT in the next time step. CoLBT-hydro for event-by-event simulations is made possible only with a Graphics-Processing-Unit (GPU) parallelized (3+1)D hydrodynamics. It combines the pQCD approach for the propagation of energetic jet shower partons with the hydrodynamic evolution of the strongly coupled QGP medium, including jet-induced medium excitation. It therefore can describe both high and low p_T phenomena in high-energy heavy-ion collisions. We report in this Letter our first study of γ-hadron correlations with CoLBT-hydro. We study in particular the evidence of jet-induced medium excitation in soft hadrons associated with the suppression of leading hadrons due to parton energy loss in γ-jet events of heavy-ion collisions.

LBT model. In LBT model, jet transport is simulated according to a linear Boltzmann equation,

$$p_a\cdot\partial f_a = \gamma_b\int\prod_{i=b,c,d} d[p_i](f_cf_d - f_af_b)|\mathcal{M}_{ab\to cd}|^2 \times S_2(s,t,u)(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p_a+p_b-p_c-p_d) + \text{inelastic}, \quad (1)$$

where $d[p_i] = d^3p_i/[2E_i(2\pi)^3]$, γ_b is the color-spin degeneracy for parton b, $f_i = 1/(e^{p_i\cdot u/T}\pm 1)$ ($i =$

b, d) are parton phase-space distributions in a thermal medium with local temperature T and fluid velocity $u = (1, \vec{v})/\sqrt{1-\vec{v}^2}$, $f_i = (2\pi)^3\delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p_i})\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{x_i}-\vec{v_i}t)$ ($i = a, c$) are the phase-space density for jet shower partons before and after scattering. $S_2(s,t,u) = \theta(s \geq 2\mu_D^2)\theta(-s+\mu_D^2 \leq t \leq -\mu_D^2)$ is introduced [40] to regulate the collinear divergency in the leading-order (LO) elastic scattering amplitude $|\mathcal{M}_{ab\to cd}|^2$ [41], where $\hat{s}$, $\hat{t}$, and $\hat{u}$ are Mandelstam variables, and $\mu_D^2 = 3g^2T^2/2$ is the Debye screen mass with 3 quark flavors. The cross section of corresponding elastic collision is $d\sigma_{ab\to cd}/dt = |\mathcal{M}_{ab\to cd}|^2/16\pi s^2$. The strong coupling constant $\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$ is fixed and will be fitted to experimental data.

The inelastic process of induced gluon radiation accompanying each elastic scattering is also included in LBT. The radiated gluon spectrum is simulated according to the high-twist approach [42, 43],

$$\frac{dN_g^a}{dzdk_\perp^2 d\tau} = \frac{6\alpha_s P_a(z) k_\perp^4}{\pi(k_\perp^2+z^2m^2)^4}\frac{p\cdot u}{p_0}\hat{q}_a(x)\sin^2\frac{\tau-\tau_i}{2\tau_f}, \quad (2)$$

where m is the mass of the propagating parton, z and $k_\perp$ are the energy fraction and transverse momentum of the radiated gluon, $P_a(z)$ the splitting function, $\tau_f = 2p_0 z(1-z)/(k_\perp^2+z^2m^2)$ the gluon formation time, $\hat{q}_a(x) = \sum_{bcd}\rho_b(x)\int d\hat{t}q_\perp^2 d\sigma_{ab\to cd}/d\hat{t}$ the jet transport parameter in the local comoving frame, $\rho_b(x)$ is the parton density (including the degeneracy) and τ_i is the time of the last gluon emission. The Debye screening mass μ_D is used as an infrared cut-off for the gluon's energy.

Within LBT, the probability of elastic scattering in each time step $\Delta\tau$ during the propagation of a parton is $P_{\rm el}^a = 1-\exp[-\Delta\tau\Gamma_a^{\rm el}(x)]$, where $\Gamma_a^{\rm el} \equiv \sum_{bcd}(p\cdot u/p_0)\rho_b(x)\sigma_{ab\to cd}$ is the elastic scattering rate. The probability for inelastic process is $P_{\rm inel}^a = 1-\exp[-\Delta\tau\Gamma_a^{\rm inel}(x)]$ where $\Gamma_a^{\rm inel} = \int dzdk_\perp^2(dN_g^a/dzdk_\perp^2 d\tau)/(1+\delta_g^a)$ is the gluon radiation rate. The total scattering probability $P_{\rm tot}^a = P_{\rm el}^a(1-P_{\rm inel}^a)+P_{\rm inel}^a$ can be split into the probability for pure elastic scattering and the probability for inelastic scattering with at least one gluon radiation. Multiple gluon radiation is simulated by a Poisson distribution with the mean $\langle N_g^a\rangle = \Delta\tau\Gamma_a^{\rm inel}$.

Since LBT is designed to study both jet propagation and jet-induced medium excitation, all final partons after each scattering (jet shower partons, recoil medium partons and radiated gluons) will go through further scattering in the medium. To account for the back reaction in the Boltzmann transport, initial thermal parton b in each scattering, denoted as "negative" partons with negative energy-momentum, are also transported according to the Boltzmann equation. They are part of the jet-induced medium excitation [20, 26, 31] and their energies and momenta will be subtracted from all final observables. LBT has been employed to study successfully γ-jet modification, light and heavy flavor hadron suppression in heavy-ion collisions [20, 32, 33].

CoLBT-hydro model. In LBT, a hydrodynamic model provides information on the local temperature and fluid velocity of the bulk medium which evolves independently of the jet propagation. We have developed CoLBT-hydro in which jet transport is coupled to hydrodynamic evolution of the bulk medium in real time and jet-induced medium excitation is also described by hydrodynamics. Such coupling is achieved through a source term in the hydrodynamic equation, $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu$,

$$j^\nu = \sum_i \frac{\theta(p_{\rm cut}^0 - p_i\cdot u)dp_i^\nu/d\tau}{\tau(2\pi)^{3/2}\sigma_r^2\sigma_{\eta_s}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{(\vec{x}_\perp-\vec{x}_{\perp i})^2}{2\sigma_r^2}-\frac{(\eta_s-\eta_{si})^2}{2\sigma_{\eta_s}}\right], \quad (3)$$

which is the energy-momentum deposition by soft ($p\cdot u < p_{\rm cut}^0$) and "negative" partons ($p\cdot u < 0$) from LBT with a Gaussian smearing. We employ the CCNU-LBNL viscous (CLVisc) code [44, 45] to solve the (3+1)D hydrodynamics with the above source term and a parametrized equation of state (EoS) s95p-v1[46]. CLVisc parallelizes Kurganov-Tadmor algorithm [47] for space-time evolution of the bulk medium and Cooper-Frye particlization on GPU, using Open Computing Language (OpenCL). With massive amount of computing elements on GPUs and the Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD) vector operations on modern CPUs, CLVisc brings the best performance boosts so far to (3+1)D hydrodynamics on heterogeneous computing devices and makes event-by-event CoLBT-hydro simulations possible.

In CoLBT-hydro, both LBT and CLVisc are formulated in the Milne coordinates $(\tau, \vec{x}_\perp, \eta_s)$ and are simulated in sync with each other. For each time step $\Delta\tau$, transport of jet shower partons are carried out according to LBT with local temperature and fluid velocity from CLVisc at τ. Soft and "negative" partons are removed from the list of partons in LBT after each scattering and their energy-momentum contributes to the source term according to Eq. (3) in the hydrodynamic evolution of the bulk medium. The updated local medium properties will be used in the transport of energetic partons ($p\cdot u > p_{\rm cut}^0$) within LBT for the next time step $\tau+\Delta\tau$. The initial energy-momentum density distributions for event-by-event CoLBT-hydro simulations are obtained from particles in A Multi-Phase Transport (AMPT) model with the same Gaussian smearing as in Eq. (3) ($\sigma_r = 0.6$ fm and $\sigma_{\eta_s} = 0.6$). The normalization of the initial energy-momentum density, the initial time $\tau_0 = 0.4$ fm/c and freeze-out temperature $T_{\rm f} = 137$ MeV are fitted to reproduce experimental data on the final charged hadron rapidity and transverse momentum distributions [45, 49, 50]. We employ the parton recombination model [51] developed within the JET Collaboration for hadronization of hard partons from LBT. The final hadron spectra from CoLBT-hydro include contributions from both LBT via parton recombination and CLVisc via



FIG. 1: Energy density (GeV/fm^3) and γ-jet evolution in the transverse plane at $\eta_s = 0$, $\tau = 2.0$ (a,b) and 4.8 fm/c (c,d) in a 0-12% central Au+Au collision at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV. Straight (wavy) lines represent partons' (photon) momenta. Hydrodynamic background from the same event without γ-jet is subtracted in the right panels.



FIG. 2: (a) γ-triggered jet fragmentation functions in p+p and 0-12% Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV and (b) the modification factor as compared to STAR data [53]. Results without medium excitation (m.e.) are shown in dashed lines.

Cooper-Frye freeze-out. In this study, we use the ideal version of CLVisc. Final results on hadron spectra from jet-induced medium excitation are not very sensitive to the value of the shear viscosity and will be discussed in detail in a future publication on CoLBT-hydro.

To illustrate jet transport and jet-induced medium excitation in CoLBT-hydro simulations we show in Fig. 1 transverse distributions of the energy density at two different time $\tau = 2.0$ (upper panels) and 4.8 fm/c (lower panels) in a 0-12% central Au+Au collision at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV with a γ-jet that is produced at the center of the overlap region. The (wavy) straight lines represent the momenta of (γ) hard jet shower partons. The left panel is from CoLBT-hydro simulation with a γ-jet. The Mach-cone-like jet-induced medium excitation including the diffusion wake (depletion of energy density behind the jet) is clearly seen in the right panels where the same bulk medium evolution without the γ-jet is subtracted.

γ-hadron correlation. Modification of γ-hadron correlations has been proposed as a good probe of parton energy loss in QGP medium [6] since direct photons can be used to better measure the initial jet energy. We carry out the first study of jet quenching with CoLBT-hydro as well as jet-induced medium excitation through γ-hadron correlations in high-energy heavy-ion collisions.

We use Pythia8 [52] to generate initial jet shower partons for γ-jet events in p+p collisions. These partons start to interact with the medium in CoLBT-hydro after their formation time $\tau_f = 2p_0/p_T^2$ or the QGP formation time τ_0 whichever later. The initial position of the γ-jet is sampled according to the spatial distribution of binary hard processes from the same AMPT event that provides the initial condition for the bulk medium evolution. The final hadron spectrum per γ trigger, defined as the γ-triggered fragmentation function,

$$D(z) = \left.\frac{dN_h}{dz}\right|_{\rm LBT} + \left.\frac{dN_h}{dz}\right|_{\rm hydro}^{\rm w/jet} - \left.\frac{dN_h}{dz}\right|_{\rm hydro}^{\rm no/jet}, \qquad (4)$$

$z = p_T^h/p_T^\gamma$, is the sum of hadron spectra from LBT and CLVsic in CoLBT-hydro minus the background from CLVisc with the same initial condition but without γ-jet.

Shown in Fig. 2(a) are CoLBT-hydro results for the γ-triggered fragmentation functions in p+p and 0-12% central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV and (b) the corresponding modification factors $I_{AA}(z) = D_{AA}(z)/D_{pp}(z)$ for $12 < p_T^\gamma < 20$ GeV/c within pseudo-rapidity $|\eta| < 1$ and azimuthal angle $|\Delta\phi_{\gamma h} - \pi| < 1.4$. A constant background in the hadron yield from LBT is subtracted using the zero-yield-at-minimum method as in the experimental analyses. CoLBT-hydro describes well the STAR experimental data [53] on suppression of leading hadrons at intermediate and large z due to energy loss of hard partons within LBT. Soft hadrons at small z are significantly enhanced due to contributions from jet-induced medium excitation. The only parameter that controls parton energy loss in LBT is the strong coupling constant which we choose $\alpha_{\rm s} = 0.3$ to fit the STAR data.



FIG. 3: Modification factor for γ-hadron correlation as a function of $\xi = \log(1/z)$ for $|\eta| < 0.35$ and different p_T^γ in 0-40% and 0-12% Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV as compared to the STAR [53] and preliminary PHENIX data [54].



FIG. 4: γ-hadron azimuthal correlation for $|\eta| < 1.0$, $12 < p_T^\gamma < 20$ GeV/c and different p_T^h in p+p (triangle) and 0-12% central Au+Au collisions with (circle) and without (diamond) medium excitation (m.e.) at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV. The half width σ is obtained via a Gaussian fit within $|\Delta\phi_{h\gamma} - \pi| < 1.4$.

The cut-off parameter is set at $p_{\rm cut}^0 = 2$ GeV for soft partons that contribute to the source term for CLVisc. The final combined spectra from LBT and CLVisc are not sensitive to $p_{\rm cut}^0$ within the range $1 < p_{\rm cut}^0 < 4$ GeV.

To exam jet-induced medium excitation in detail, we show in Fig. 3 the modification factor I_{AA} for γ-hadron correlation as a function of $\xi = \log(1/z)$ in 0-40% and 0-12% Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV for different ranges of p_T^γ within $|\eta| < 0.35$ and $|\Delta\phi_{\gamma h} - \pi| < \pi/2$ as compared to experimental data [53, 54]. CoLBT-hydro can describe the suppression of hadrons at small ξ as well as the enhancement at large ξ. A unique feature of the CoLBT-hydro results is that soft hadron enhancement due to jet-induced medium excitation increases with jet energy but the onset occurs at a constant $p_T^h \sim 2$ GeV/c. This is confirmed by the preliminary PHENIX data [54] and illustrates the thermal nature of soft hadron enhancement due to jet-induced medium excitation.

In the first panel of Fig. 3, we also show I_{AA} for $5 < p_T^\gamma < 7$ GeV/c within different azimuthal angle ranges. One can see that the soft hadron enhancement has significant contributions from both small $|\Delta\phi_{\gamma h} - \pi| < \pi/6$ and large azimuthal angle $\pi/3 < |\Delta\phi_{\gamma h} - \pi| < \pi/2$ relative to the jet direction. To illustrate the angular structure of jet-induced medium excitation, we plot in Fig. 4 γ-hadron correlation as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\gamma h}$ in p+p and 0-12% central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ AGeV. Large p_T hadron yields from γ-jet in Au+Au are suppressed but the width of their angular distributions remain approximately unchanged from p+p. The angular distributions for the enhanced soft hadrons in Au+Au are, however, significantly broadened. The most interesting feature in the angular distribution of soft hadrons is the depletion of soft hadrons in the γ direction due to the diffusion wake left behind by the jet in QGP.

Summary. We have developed state of the art CoLBT-hydro for simultaneous event-by-event simulations of jet propagation and hydrodynamic evolution of the bulk medium including jet-induced medium excitation. We carried out the first study within CoLBT-hydro of the medium modification of γ-hadron correlations in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC. CoLBT-hydro describes well both the suppression of leading hadrons due to parton energy loss and enhancement of soft hadrons due to jet-induced medium excitation. The onset of soft hadron enhancement at a constant p_T^h with broadened angular distribution and depletion of soft hadrons in the γ direction are two unique features of jet-induced medium excitation that are different from the parton cascade picture [21, 23]. These effects should be taken into account in the tomographic study of QGP with reconstructed jets.

This work is supported by the NSFC under grant No. 11521064, MOST of China under Projects No. 2014CB845404, NSF under grant No. ACI-1550228, U.S. DOE under Contract Nos. DE-AC02-05CH11231 and de-sc0013460. Computations are performed at the Green Cube at GSI and GPU workstations at CCNU.

[1] X. -N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1480 (1992).
[2] K. Adcox *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 022301 (2002).
[3] X. -N. Wang, Nucl. Phys. A **750**, 98 (2005).
[4] A. Majumder and M. Van Leeuwen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **66**, 41 (2011).
[5] G. Y. Qin and X. -N. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **24**, no. 11, 1530014 (2015).
[6] X. -N. Wang, Z. Huang and I. Sarcevic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 231 (1996).
[7] I. Vitev and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 252301 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.252301 [hep-ph/0209161].
[8] H. Zhang, J. F. Owens, E. Wang and X. -N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 212301 (2007).
[9] H. Zhang, J. F. Owens, E. Wang and X. -N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 032302 (2009).
[10] I. Vitev and B. -W. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 132001 (2010).
[11] G. Aad *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 252303 (2010).
[12] G. -Y. Qin and B. Muller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 162302 (2011).
[13] J. Casalderrey-Solana, J. G. Milhano and U. A. Wiedemann, J. Phys. G **38**, 035006 (2011).
[14] C. Young, B. Schenke, S. Jeon and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 024907 (2011).
[15] W. Dai, I. Vitev and B. W. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, no. 14, 142001 (2013).
[16] Y. T. Chien and I. Vitev, JHEP **1605**, 023 (2016).
[17] J. G. Milhano and K. C. Zapp, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 5, 288 (2016).
[18] N. B. Chang and G. Y. Qin, Phys. Rev. C **94**, no. 2, 024902 (2016).
[19] J. P. Blaizot and Y. Mehtar-Tani, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **24**, no. 11, 1530012 (2015).
[20] X. -N. Wang and Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 6, 062301 (2013).
[21] J. P. Blaizot, E. Iancu and Y. Mehtar-Tani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 052001 (2013).
[22] L. Apolinario, N. Armesto and L. Cunqueiro, JHEP **1302**, 022 (2013).
[23] L. Fister and E. Iancu, JHEP **1503**, 082 (2015).
[24] J. Casalderrey-Solana, E. V. Shuryak and D. Teaney, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **27**, 22 (2005) [Nucl. Phys. A **774**, 577 (2006)].
[25] H. Stoecker, Nucl. Phys. A **750**, 121 (2005).
[26] H. Li, F. Liu, G. -L. Ma, X. -N. Wang and Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 012301 (2011).
[27] Z. Xu and C. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 064901 (2005).
[28] B. Schenke, C. Gale and S. Jeon, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 054913 (2009).
[29] K. Zapp, G. Ingelman, J. Rathsman, J. Stachel and U. A. Wiedemann, Eur. Phys. J. C **60**, 617 (2009).
[30] K. C. Zapp, F. Krauss and U. A. Wiedemann, JHEP **1303**, 080 (2013).
[31] Y. He, T. Luo, X. N. Wang and Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 054908 (2015).
[32] S. Cao, T. Luo, G. Y. Qin and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **94**, no. 1, 014909 (2016).
[33] S. Cao, T. Luo, G. Y. Qin and X. N. Wang, arXiv:1703.00822 [nucl-th].
[34] B. Betz, J. Noronha, G. Torrieri, M. Gyulassy, I. Mishustin and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 034902 (2009).
[35] G.-Y. Qin, A. Majumder, H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 152303 (2009).
[36] Y. Tachibana and T. Hirano, Phys. Rev. C **93**, no. 5, 054907 (2016).
[37] Y. Tachibana, N. B. Chang and G. Y. Qin, arXiv:1701.07951 [nucl-th].
[38] S. S. Gubser, S. S. Pufu and A. Yarom, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 012301 (2008).
[39] P. M. Chesler and L. G. Yaffe, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 045013 (2008).
[40] J. Auvinen, K. J. Eskola and T. Renk, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 024906 (2010).
[41] E. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, K. D. Lane and C. Quigg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **56**, 579 (1984) Addendum: [Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 1065 (1986)].
[42] X. F. Guo and X. -N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3591 (2000).
[43] X. -N. Wang and X. -F. Guo, Nucl. Phys. A **696**, 788 (2001).
[44] L. G. Pang, Y. Hatta, X. -N. Wang and B. W. Xiao, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 7, 074027 (2015).
[45] L. Pang, Q. Wang and X. -N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 024911 (2012).
[46] P. Huovinen and P. Petreczky, Nucl. Phys. A **837**, 26 (2010).
[47] A. Kurganov and. Tadmor, J. Comput. Phys. **160**, 241 (2000)
[48] Z. W. Lin, C. M. Ko, B. A. Li, B. Zhang and S. Pal, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 064901 (2005).
[49] L. G. Pang, H. Petersen, G. Y. Qin, V. Roy and X. -N. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, no. 4, 97 (2016).
[50] L. G. Pang, G. Y. Qin, V. Roy, X. -N. Wang and G. L. Ma, Phys. Rev. C **91**, no. 4, 044904 (2015).
[51] K. C. Han, R. J. Fries and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C **93**, no. 4, 045207 (2016).
[52] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 852 (2008).
[53] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **760**, 689 (2016).
[54] H. Ge [PHENIX Collaboration], arXiv:1703.09374 [nucl-ex].